

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,939	10/01/2004	Risto Nikander	P08398US00/DEJ	4953
881 O219/2010 STITIES & HARBISON PLLC 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET			EXAMINER	
			LAZORCIK, JASON L	
SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Evaninas Initiated Intensions Commans	10/509,939	NIKANDER, RISTO				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JASON L. LAZORCIK	1791				
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>				
(1) <u>JASON L. LAZORCIK</u> .	(3)					
(2) Douglas E. Jackson (Reg. No. 28,518).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 2 February 2010	Time: <u>4:20pm</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ Applicat ☐ Applicat ☐ Yes	int's representative)					
Rejection(s) discussed:						
rejection(s) discussed.						
Claims discussed:						
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/Jason L Lazorcik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Application No. 10/509,939

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

February 2, 2010;

Applicant was advised that after careful review the present amendments to claim 1, dated November 9, 2009, fail to overcome the rejection of claims in the official Action dated May 8, 2009. Applicant was however advised that incorporation of additional structure and/or operational details regarding the glass stopping arrangement (see paragraphs 0029-0030) would read over the prior art of record and may place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant was directed to present proposed claim language for further discussion by February 5, 2010.

February 15, 2010:

Applicant was unable to timely present proposed amendments. A final rejection was issued in response to Applicants reply dated November 9, 2009, however Applicant was invited to contact the Examier to further discuss the potentially allowable subject material as deemed necessary by Applicant.